

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,433	03/01/2002 Hung Viet Ngo C3043US;680-010481-US(PAR		3428	
29683	7590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			KANG, JU	LIANA K
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/087,433	NGO, HUNG VIET
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Juliana K. Kang	2874
eriod for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE M/ - Extension after SI2 - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, briod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state by received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ R	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16</u>	August 2004.	
2a)⊠ T	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	his action is non-final.	
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
cl	losed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositio	n of Claims		
4)⊠ C	claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
48	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-28,42 and 43</u> is/are allowed.		
-	laim(s) <u>29-41</u> is/are rejected.		
	claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)∐ C	claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application	n Papers		
•	ne specification is objected to by the Exami		
	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a		·
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	` '
_	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·	
11)∐ Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreight All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		n received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bure	, , , ,	
	e the attached detailed Office action for a li		4

Attachment(s)

1)	M MORE	ce of Rei	rerences	Cited (P	10-892)	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

47 🗀	interview Summary (P10-41)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
$\sim$	Aladian of Informal Date of Acc

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)	Other:	
----	--------	--

Application/Control Number: 10/087,433

Art Unit: 2874

1. Applicant's communication filed on August 16, 2004 has been carefully studied by the Examiner. The arguments advanced therein together with the amendments made to the claims made claims 1-28, 42 and 43 allowable. However amendments made to claims 29-41 necessitated new ground(s) of rejection. This action is made final.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duran (U.S. Patent 6,688,780 B2) and further in view of Benner et al (U.S. Patent 6,568,861 B2).

Regarding claims 29, 31, 32, and 39, Duran discloses an optical fiber adapter with all the claimed limitations (see Fig. 3b) except the passage of the housing that is slanted relative to the mounting section. Benner et al teach an optical fiber adapter with the passage of the housing that is slanted relative to the mounting section to avoid inadvertent retinal damage (see column 3 lines 55-67). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use apply the slanted passage as taught by Benner et al in Duran to protect a user eyes from eye damages.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,433

Art Unit: 2874

Regarding claim 30, Duran teaches latches (266, 266') and coupling sleeve notches (230, 230') to locking the latch inserts in the housing after the latch inserts are inserted into the housing.

Regarding claim 33, Duran discloses that the housing is made of metal (see column 5 lines 47-49).

Regarding claims 34 and 40, Benner et al teaches placing an EMI gasket (62) in a recess (61) between a mounting surface and the panel to prevent electromagnetic interference leakage (see column 4 lines 31-56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use EMI gasket in Duran as taught by Benner et al to prevent EMI leakage.

Regarding claims 36 and 41, Duran discloses a shutter (250, door) that is pivotally mounted to the housing.

Regarding claim 37, even though Duran's shutter does not require a spring, Duran clearly states that spring-loaded shutter is well known in the art.

Regarding claim 38, Duran teaches using MTP and MPO connectors (see column 5 lines 26-30).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/087,433

Art Unit: 2874

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Petrillo (U.S. Patent 6,623,170 B2) teaches an angular mounted optical connector adaptor frame. Belenkiy et al (U.S. Patent 5,347,603) teaches a boot that can be rotated to various angular orientations but fail to teach having twisted optical fibers.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliana K. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-2348. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. & Fri. 10:00-6:00 and Tue. & Thur. 10:00-3:00.

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Juliana Kang

October 22, 2004